

Appl. No. 10/623,066
Amdt. Dated November 5, 2005
Reply to Office Action of August 5, 2005

REMARKS

Specification objections

In response to specification objection set forth in this Office Action, applicant has changed the "a co-pending patent application" to "US patent 6,726,494".

Claim rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(b)

Claims 1-2, and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Caparosa 3,215,971.

Claim 1 has been amended to include the patentable subject matters recited in claims 2 and 3 so as to overcome the rejection as suggested by examiner.

Dependent Claims 4-6, which depend from independent Claim 1, respectively, are also believed to be patentable over the cited prior art.

Claim 7-8, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Caparosa 3,215,971.

Claim 7 has been amended to include the patentable subject matters recited in claims 8 and 9 so as to overcome the rejection as suggested by examiner.

Dependent Claims 10-12, which depend from independent Claim 7, respectively, are also believed to be patentable over the cited prior art.

Claim 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Caparosa 3,215,971.

Regarding claim 13, movement of the handle portion is irrelative to the cable

Appl. No. 10/623,066
Amdt. Dated November 5, 2005
Reply to Office Action of August 5, 2005

Oppositely, in Caparosa the cable is associatively moved together with the handle portion. Thus, claim 13 should be allowed under U.S.C 102(b)

Dependent Claims 14-16, which depend from independent Claim 13, respectively, are also believed to be patentable over the cited prior art wherin claims 15 and 16 have their own patentabilities already acknowledged by the Examiner.

Respectfully submitted,
Kuo et al

By 
Wei Te Chung

Registration No. 43,325
Foxconn International, Inc.
P. O. Address: 1650 Memorex Drive,
Santa Clara, CA 95050
Tel No.: (408) 919-6137